

NAsEA Youth Scholarship Ad-Hoc Committee NAsEA Youth Scholarship Ad-Hoc Committee
(Rubric)

Your essay will be reviewed and evaluated by NAsEA Youth Scholarship Ad-Hoc Committee based on the following established rubric and **overall academic achievement, leadership qualities, extra-curricular involvement, and community service.**

We will also closely monitor for any plagiarized work and that submitted essay will be immediately disqualified. Please be sure to provide all your references and citation in the APA style.

Problem-Solutions Essay	None	Poor	Fair	Good
	0 pts	10 pts	15 pts	20 pts
Problem	None	Poor	Fair	Good
The problem should be stated in clear language. It should be easy to recognize and understand.	The statement of the problem cannot be found.	The problem is stated but is difficult to find and difficult to understand	The problem is stated, but it is a little difficult to understand.	The problem is stated in clear language. It is easy to recognize and understand.
Solution	None	Poor	Fair	Good
The solution should be stated in clear language. It should be easy to recognize and understand.	The statement of the solution cannot be found.	The solution is stated but is difficult to find and difficult to understand.	The solution is stated, but it is a little difficult to understand.	The solution is stated in clear language. It is easy to recognize and understand.

NAsEA Youth Scholarship Ad-Hoc Committee NAsEA Youth Scholarship Ad-Hoc Committee
(Rubric)

Evidence	None	Poor	Fair	Good
Good support should be given to the main idea. Facts and statistics should be supplied from credible resources.	No evidence was given to support the main idea.	Only a little evidence was provided. It does not support the main idea very well.	Some evidence was given to support the main idea but not enough.	Good support was given to the main idea. Facts and statistics were supplied from credible resources.
Call to Action	None	Poor	Fair	Good
The audience (readers) should be able to recognize what they can do to help solve the problem.	No call to action was given to tell readers what they can do.	The call to action is very weak. Readers probably won't understand what they can do to help the problem.	The call to action is not very recognizable. The readers might not understand what they can do to help the problem.	The audience (readers) will easily recognize what they can do to help solve the problem.
Organization	None	Poor	Fair	Good
The essay should be written in a logical order that the reader can easily follow and understand.	The essay is very messy. There is no clear order to the writing	The essay is only somewhat organized. It is a little difficult to read from one part to the next.	The essay is somewhat organized. Most parts are in a logical order.	The essay is written in a logical order that the reader can easily follow and understand.

Rubric Source: <http://www.rcampus.com/rubricshowc.cfm?code=Y52A86&sp=yes&>